

SITE SELECTION AND ACQUISITION POLICY

PROPOSED

APRIL 2, 2007

APPROVALS:

OCCM SENIOR STAFF: 2/23/07; 3/2/07 INTERIM COURT FACILITIES PANEL: 3/12/07 COURT FACILITIES TRANSITIONAL TASK FORCE: 3/21/07



Site Selection and Acquisition Policy

- 1. Whenever the Judicial Council approves a request of funding of a capital project for a court facility and the project is subsequently funded by the legislature (or State Budget) for site selection and acquisition, the Administrative Director¹ of the Courts or his designee will, upon recommendation by staff of the AOC:
 - (a) Have the authority to approve criteria established for selection of sites for specific projects and have the authority to approve negotiated terms of acquisition, for acquisition of non-controversial sites.²
 - (b) Have the authority to acquire non-controversial sites, including execution of required documentation without further Judicial Council approval; and
 - (c) Be required to seek Judicial Council approval for recommended sites that are controversial.
- 2. AOC staff and the Project Advisory Group³ assigned to a specific project will establish objective and consistent site selection criteria for location of trial and appellate court facilities and will evaluate potential sites based on those criteria.
- 3. In all site selections, AOC staff will seek to identify at least two or more sites that meet the agreed-upon criteria and will have the authority to negotiate terms of acquisition with two, or multiple, sellers. Consistent with the Judicial Council's Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital Outlay Projects, AOC staff will consider and recommend sites for selection and acquisition that meet the established criteria, including sites, locations, and proposals that will provide specific economic benefit or opportunities to the state.

¹ Requires modification to California Rule of Court, rule 10.15(a) and (b)(5)

² For definition of controversial, see Section II. C of this policy.

³ California Rules of Court, rule 10.184 (d)

I. Purpose of the Site Selection Criteria and Process

The criteria for site selection are provided to deliver objective and consistent guidelines by which the Judicial Council and the Administrative Office of the Courts shall evaluate real property sites for location of new appellate and trial court capital-outlay facilities.

The use of standardized criteria for selection of sites, the creation of a standard process of competitive solicitation of properties, and the objective and consistent evaluation of available properties shall guide AOC staff in recommendations to the Administrative Director of the Courts for site acquisitions for facilities.

The criteria shall:

- Establish consistent and objective primary measures for identifying project-specific site requirements for new appellate and trial court facilities;
- Provide a structured and comprehensive tool to guide the Project Advisory Group for each capital project in determining the general and specific site location criteria for that project; and
- Provide demonstrable measures for competitive evaluation of potential sites that have been identified.

The criteria have three main components;

- Project requirements, which delineate the necessary, desirable, and undesirable features, location and size of future potential sites, including demographics, location, neighborhood character, environmental sustainability, and site size, security, traffic impacts and public transit features, local planning requirements, and schedule/availability.
- <u>Technical requirements</u>, which delineate the physical elements which contribute to its viability for selection; and
- <u>Economic factors, including</u>: capital cost of purchase; impacts and costs of required improvements to local infrastructure and on-going infrastructure costs such as utilities, road maintenance, etc; and economic incentives, both public and private.

II. Definitions

- A. <u>Acquisition</u>: Purchase or conveyance of land and/or building for court facilities
- B. <u>Lease</u>: Term-based transaction with third party for land and/or buildings for court facilities.

C. <u>Controversial Sites</u>: Sites or matters related to site selection/acquisition for new court facilities, which include unresolved issues or dispute about criteria, cost, location, or any other feature of a specific site or sites, by members of the Project Advisory Group, the court or courts involved in the project, the local or regional jurisdictions the public or private business entities.

III. Evaluation Process for Acquisition

- A. <u>Use of the standardized site criteria:</u> For all new trial court capital-outlay and appellate court facilities, the Site Selection Criteria and Process will be applied by the project team. Once a Project Advisory Group for a project is selected and convened, it shall confirm the site requirements for a particular project that were identified in the superior court facilities master plan⁴ or in a subsequent feasibility study prepared by AOC staff for a particular project, and to consider those criteria by order of importance, including weighting and overall priority. This task shall be completed prior to initiating/conducting any property identification or solutions. Criteria development will be approved by members of the court and the confirmed by the Project Advisory Group, subject to recommendation by the AOC's project manger and approval by the Administrative Director of the Courts under this policy.
- B. <u>Identification of potential sites:</u> Once the criteria are developed for a particular project, the AOC Real Estate and Asset Management team will represent the Project Advisory Group in identifying or in soliciting competitive proposals for sites that meet the specified site criteria.
- C. <u>Evaluation of Identified Sites:</u> Once sites have been identified, the AOC staff, working with the Project Advisory Group for a particular project, and in consultation with the real estate team, will determine which sites will be pursued competitively. The sites will be given a priority by the agreed-upon weighting and point-assignment system developed in the criteria stage.
- D. <u>Negotiation of Terms:</u> Terms of acquisition will be negotiated by the AOC project team in consultation with the Project Advisory Group.
- E. <u>Approval:</u> The Administrative Director of the Courts will approve the criteria for selection, ranking of sites, and recommendations and subsequent negotiation of terms.

⁴ In 2002-2004, a facilities master plan was prepared for each superior court.

IV Categories of Site Selection Criteria for Delineated Area

		Required ⁵	Preferred	Not desirable	
Project Requirements - Site Features					
Required Site Area	Define minimum/maximum site area • Site Geometry • Site Contiguity				
	Define building size and height assumptions: parking including cost benefit of size Define expansion capabilities				
	Parking Requirements				
Location Preferences	Define delineated area proximities and adjacencies, to other services (agencies, transit, public served)				
Site Coverage	Define site coverage and open space requirements				
Security Requirements	Define setbacks and other security requirements				
Sustainability	Review redevelopment and rehabilitation potential cost benefit-time Analyze alternative transit availability			_	
	Evaluate energy efficiency or reduction in usage- utility service areas, solar/wind opportunities Consider habitat preservation or improvement Determine LEED credit potential				
Neighborhood Character/	Establish neighborhood compatibility with				
Immediate Surroundings	proposed use				
	Favorable/Unfavorable SurroundingsImproving/Declining NeighborhoodDemographics: Population densities				
Traffic & Transportation	Define requirements/proximity for • Public Transit/Pedestrian Access • Bike Paths • Public Parking Areas • Overall Road/Traffic Capacity				
Image & Visibility	Establish appropriate character/image for project Location of adjacent use types of buildings and businesses				
Local Planning Requirements/	Determine consistency with comprehensive land use plan				
Initiatives	Determine potential to support local planning initiatives				
	Review Pro forma for development and related documents				
Budget					

 5 If a site does not offer or meet these criteria, it shall be removed from consideration.

IV Categories of Site Selection Criteria for Delineated Area

		Required ⁵	Preferred	Not desirable
Schedule	Determine site availability requirements			
	Ownership thresholds (ground lease, title, etc.) Political or government interest			
	Folitical of government interest			
Special Considerations				
Project Requirements - Te	echnical and Physical Features			
Site Context/Location Information	Review context from			
inomation	 Area Map and Aerial Photos Local Street and Topographic Maps City Master Planning Map(s) Proximity to court-related functions, jails Preview project impact on local goals, programs, and issues			
Physical Elements	Hydrology Check for: Existing Floodplains and Watersheds Wetlands Drainage Problems Stream Valley Buffers Topography Determine Impact on Development Patterns Physical Features Identify Unique Features or Landmarks Identify Existing Improvements and Buildings Evaluate Potential of Existing Structures Vegetation and Landscape Evaluate Potential of Existing Vegetation and Landscape Archaeological Features Determine Known Archaeological/Cultural Districts/Areas Environmental Hazards Determine Known Hazards Threatened, Rare, and Endangered Species Determine Existence of Known Species Sustainability Determine Consistency With Sustainable Design Principles Conservation Program and Regulations Determine Known Conservation Regulations, Initiatives, and Areas			

IV Categories of Site Selection Criteria for Delineated Area

		Required ⁵	Preferred	Not desirable
Physical Elements	Hydrology Check for:			docirabio
•	 Existing Floodplains and Watersheds 			
	Wetlands			
	 Drainage Problems 			
	Stream Valley Buffers			
	Topography			
	 Determine Impact on Development Patterns 			
	Physical Features			
	 Identify Unique Features or Landmarks 			
	 Identify Existing Improvements and 			
	Buildings			
	 Evaluate Potential of Existing Structures 			
	Vegetation and Landscape			
	 Evaluate Potential of Existing Vegetation 			
	and Landscape			
	Archaeological Features			
	Determine Known Archaeological/Cultural			
	Districts/Areas			
	Environmental Hazards			
	Determine Known Hazards			
	Threatened, Rare, and Endangered Species			
	Determine Existence of Known Species			
	Sustainability			
	Determine Consistency With Sustainable Design Driver Inc.			
	Design Principles			
	Conservation Program and Regulations • Determine Known Conservation			
	Regulations, Initiatives, and Areas			
	Height Restrictions			
	Floor Area Ratio			
	Setback Requirements			
	Parking Ratios			
Public Streets and	Determine special requirements for roadways and			
Alleys	streets			
Subsurface/Geotechnic	Determine local geotechnical, subsurface, and			
al Conditions	soil conditions			
Seismic	Determine state and local seismic			
Conditions/Requiremen	requirements/parameters/zones			
ts	requirements/parameters/20nes			
Energy	Determine utility/infrastructure requirements for			
Conservation/Utilities	project			
	Assess local systems' capacities and conditions			
Sewer	Determine local sanitary sewer capacity and			
	condition			
	Determine local storm water regulations and			
I Parada	capacity			
Historic	Determine existing historic or cultural districts			
Preservation/Site	Identify local historic planning groups and			

IV Categories of Site Selection Criteria for Delineated Area

		Required⁵	Preferred	Not desirable
History	programs			
Existing Use,	Determine impacts of existing use, ownership,			
Ownership, and Control	and control			
Community Services	Establish proximity requirements to community services			
Financial Factors				
Site Acquisition and Relocation Costs	Establish site acquisition budget			
Demolition/Remediation	Establish range of site demolition/remediation			
Costs	costs			
Site Construction	Establish range of site construction and			
and Preparation Costs	preparation costs			
Infrastructure	Review plans for local infrastructure			
Improvements	improvements			
Local Economic	Establish target local economic development			
Development Impact	impact goals			
Funding Sources	Establish target funding levels/percentages			
Through Partnering	through partnering			

Proposed Site Selection Criteria FORM – Point Ranking by Each Project Advisory Group*

Minimum Threshold F	Requirements	Points	Weight	Total
	Project Advisory Team must establish the following thresholds:			
Required Site Area	The Minimum site area is sq.ft. or acres			
	The Maximum site area is sq.ft. or acres			
	Any restrictions on site geometry? Yes / No			
	yes, site must be			
	{flat, min width, min depth}			
	Any required contiguous elements? Yes / No			
	Must be adjacent to			
	{example: the "XYZ Detention facility"}			
	Must not be adjacent to			
	The proposal must include number of secured parking spaces for judges andnumber of spaces for court staff			
	and spaces made available for jurors (during the hours of)			
Location Preferences	The court service area is			
	{within a mile radius of the City of "X", within miles of the detention facility, near the existing "X" courthouse, no less than ft from}			
Site Coverage	The maximum Floor Area Ratio is			
	Site must allow for at leastsq.ft. of landscaped open space in addition to parking and the building.			
Security Requirements	A setback offt. is required on all sides of the maximum probable building footprint.			
	Underground tunnels are/ are not allowed.			
	Public utility easements <u>are / are not</u> allowed.			
	Private easements are/ are not allowed.			
	Adjacent off site structures may be no taller than ft.			
Sustainability	Site must be in a redevelopment area? Yes / No			
	Site may be in a habitat preservation zone? Yes / No			

Proposed Site Selection Criteria FORM – Point Ranking by Each Project Advisory Group*

Minimum Threshold Requirements		Points	Weight	Total
Neighborhood Character/	Establish neighborhood compatibility parameters (Favorable/Unfavorable Surroundings)			
Immediate Surroundings				
Traffic & Transportation	Requirements/proximity for :			
	Public bus service must be available to site within mile. {1/8, 1/4}			
	Public parking must be available within mile of site.			
	Bike path access is required? Yes / No / Desirable			
	Site must be within miles of Highway/Road {I-5, Hwy 101 }			
	Site must have improved road access minimum of lanes.			
Budget	Acquisition cost of site must be no more than \$			
	Demolition of any existing buildings must be included in the offer and price? Yes / No			
	Re-use of existing buildings is / is not acceptable.			
Schedule	Site must be ready to close escrow within days of acquisition agreement or lease/property ready for occupancy by			
	Must have all parcels assembled under offer or control at time of proposal? Yes / No			
	Any required seller's environmental mitigation measures must be completed within days of close of escrow / before close of escrow.			
	Total Scores			
Comments/Justifications-Explore ranking and weighting system		l	l	l
Approvals:				
	Project Advisory Group	_		
	Director of OCCM	_		
	Administrative Director of Courts	_		

^{*} Ranking/weighting to be justified by each project team